Escambia Professional Evaluation (EPE) Evidence Matrix

2014 - 2015

Standards for Employee Excellence (SEE)

Domain 1 – Support of Schools and Departments

<u>Component 1</u> – Planning for the Provision of Services to Support the District's Academic Mission for Students

(3) Highly Effective	(2) Effective	(1) Needs Improvement	(0) Unsatisfactory
The employee can clearly	The employee can clearly	The employee understands	The employee cannot
articulate the relationship	articulate the relationship	the department's vision for	articulate the department's
between the employee's	between the departmental	providing support services	vision for the provision of
duties and the support of	services and the support of	but cannot articulate the	services.
teaching and learning at	teaching and learning at	relationship between	
schools throughout the	schools throughout the	departmental services and	
district.	district.	the support of teaching and	
		learning in schools.	

Element 1.1 – Understanding and articulating the relationship between departmental services and school conditions for academic success

Element 1.2 – Developing and maintaining a personal vision for how the services one provides positively impacts schools

Component 2 – Executing Duties in Alignment with the Department's Vision for Supporting Schools

Element 2.3 – Providing services that directly enhance the schools' instructional program

Element 2.4 – Executing duties in a manner consistent with the department's vision for supporting teaching and learning

Domain 2: Performance of Duties

<u>Component 3</u> – Preparation and Readiness for Duties

(6) Highly Effective	(4) Effective	(2) Needs Improvement	(0) Unsatisfactory
The employee is consistently prepared to assume and accomplish duties to achieve departmental goals .	The employee is consistently prepared to assume and accomplish duties within the employee's area of responsibility.	The employee is inconsistently prepared to assume and accomplish priority duties within the employee's area of responsibility.	The employee is rarely prepared to assume and accomplish assigned duties.
		••••	•
	od attendance and arriving on t		
lement 3.2 – Demonstrating	aptitude with verbal, non-verb	al, and written tasks and directio	ns
lement 3.3 – Accepting resp	onsibility and accountability for	the outcome of work assignmen	ts
lomont 2.4 Taking initiativ	e in accomplishing tasks withou	t direction or prompting	

(6) Highly Effective	(4) Effective	(2) Needs Improvement	(0) Unsatisfactory
The employee consistently establishes and monitors professional goals aligned to accomplish all assigned duties.	The employee establishes and monitors professional goals aligned to departmental priorities.	The employee establishes goals aligned to some work projects.	The employee does not set goals aligned to assigned duties.
lement 4.1 – Establishing shor mployee is responsible	t term goals aligned to process	es, projects, implementations a	nd initiatives for which the
	to ensure appropriately-paced p	progress towards meeting goals	and time lines
<u>Component 5</u> – Getting Res	ults		
(6) Highly Effective	(4) Effective	(2) Needs Improvement	(0) Unsatisfactory
The employee consistently <u>exceeds</u> expectations in accomplishing assigned duties.	The employee consistently <u>meets</u> expectations in accomplishing assigned duties.	The employee is inconsistent in meeting expectations in accomplishing duties.	The employee rarely meets expectations in accomplishing assigned duties.
	to understand established dutie d results in performing assigned		
		י המווכז	
	Using Communication Syste	1	
(3) Highly Effective The employee consistently	(2) Effective The employee uses	(1) Needs Improvement The employee is	(0) Unsatisfactory The employee does not
uses established communication systems and procedures to keep stakeholders informed regarding the provision of all support services.	established communication systems and procedures to keep stakeholders informed regarding the provision of priority support services .	inconsistent in effectively using established communication systems and procedures to keep stakeholders appropriately informed regarding the provision of services.	use, or is ineffective in using established communication systems and procedures to keep stakeholders appropriately informed regarding the provision of services.
Element 6.1 – Maintaining curr department personnel appropr	ent knowledge of established co riately informed	ommunication systems and pro	cedures to keep school and
	e communication channels to r	elieve school leaders and other	faculty members of secretaria
Element 6.3 – Providing critical referenced in the future	information to school and depa	artment personnel through orga	anized methods that can be ea
Element 6.4 – Following up wit and to differentiate support se	h stakeholders as appropriate to rvices as needed	o gauge effectiveness of prior c	ommunications, offer clarificat
	Domain 3: The Dep	artment Environment	
<u>Component 7</u> –Using Data a	as a Problem Solving Strateg	y at the Department and Di	istrict Level
(3) Highly Effective	(2) Effective	(1) Needs Improvement	(0) Unsatisfactory
The employee consistently uses data to monitor, problem solve, and continuously improve procedures and outcomes.	The employee uses data to monitor, problem solve and improve priority services and duties.	The employee attempts to use data, but is not yet effective at using data to monitor, problem solve, and improve services and duties.	The employee does not use data to monitor, problem solve, and improve services and duties.
	using systems and procedures to		
Element 7 2 – Collaboratively a	nalyzing data, including stakeho	older feedback, to improve proc	cedures and outcomes

(3) Highly Effective	(2) Effective	(1) Needs Improvement	(0) Unsatisfactory
The employee consistently coordinates duties, projects, and/or initiatives with other district personnel, across departments when necessary, to comprehensively provide cohesive support.	The employee coordinates duties, projects, and/or initiatives with other district personnel, across departments when necessary, to improve priority services and support.	The employee is inconsistent in coordinating duties, projects, and/or initiatives with other district personnel to improve priority services and support.	The employee rarely coordinates duties, projects, and/or initiatives with other district personnel to attempt to provide cohesive service and support.

Element 8.1 – Working cooperatively as a team to enhance collective success and effectiveness Element 8.2 – Coordinating initiatives and support services within and across departments and divisions

<u>Component 9</u> – Appropriately Using Departmental Resources in Alignment with Department and District Priorities

(3) Highly Effective	(2) Effective	(1) Needs Improvement	(0) Unsatisfactory
The employee consistently uses resources in alignment with the department and	The employee uses resources in alignment with assigned duties.	The employee inconsistently uses resources in alignment with	Some goals are not achieved as a result of misallocation or misuse of
district's goals. assigned duties. departmental resources. Element 9.1 – Using resources appropriately to accomplish duties Image: Complex State of Complex Stat			

Element 9.2 - Aligning the use of limited departmental resources with department and district goals

Domain 4 - Professional and Ethical Behaviors

Component 10 - Engaging in Continuous Learning to Improve Professional Practices

(3) Highly Effective	(2) Effective	(1) Needs Improvement	(0) Unsatisfactory
The employee consistently pursues professional learning opportunities to enhance professional practices, maintain currency and industry best practices and district initiatives.	The employee attends all required and recommended professional learning opportunities to enhance professional practices, maintain currency and industry best practices and district initiatives.	The employee is inconsistent in engaging in required professional learning opportunities.	The employee is does not engage in professional learning opportunities.
Element 10.1 – Participating in	required professional learning		
Element 10.2 – Maintaining kn	owledge of industry best practic	ces and related district initiative	25

Element 10.3 – Improving professional skills through self-initiated professional development

(3) Highly Effective	(2) Effective	(1) Needs Improvement	(0) Unsatisfactory
The employee abides by the spirit, as well as the intent , of policies, laws, and regulations that govern the district and the education profession in the state of Florida, and inspires others within the organization to abide by that same behavior .	The employee abides by all policies, laws, and regulations that govern the district and the education profession in the state of Florida.	The employee's behaviors enable recurring misunderstanding and misperceptions about the employee's conduct and ethics, and the employee has only general recollection of issues addressed in the Code and Principles, and regulations governing the education profession in the state of Florida.	The employee's patterns of behavior are inconsistent with the Code of Ethics, Rule 6B-1.001, or disciplinary action has been initiated based on violation of the Principles of Professional Conduct, Rule 6B-1.006.

Element 11.3 – Exemplifying the district's Standards of Behavior

PDP - Plan for Deliberate Practice

(6) Complete (0) Incomplete

Two goals, with accompanying action plans:

Goal 1: Aligned to major department initiatives, deliverables, or improvement priorities

Goal 2: Aligned to the Standards for Employee Excellence

*Award of points for the PDP is based on whether or not the employee completed the activities specified within the plan. If the activities were completed as described but the measurable objectives associated with the goals were not met, then the employee receives credit for completing the PDP. If the activities were not completed throughout the year as described, then the employee does not receive credit for the PDP.

Standards for Employee Excellence (SEE)

80%

The employee's Standards for Employee Excellence (SEE) rating will be comprised of component level scores earned on the SEE framework along with points earned from completing the Plan for Deliberate Practice (PDP).

Assignment of SEE Effectiveness Rating

The same scale used to rate teachers' instructional practice will be used for the SEE rating for the EPE.

SEE Effectiveness Rating	Score Ranges
Highly Effective	88 – 100% of the possible 48 points
Effective	64 – 87.9% of the possible 48 points
Needs Improvement	59 – 63.9% of the possible 48 points
Unsatisfactory	0 – 58.9% of the possible 48 points

*A single component score of Unsatisfactory will result in an overall Unsatisfactory rating.

Escambia Professional Evaluation (EPE) Evidence Matrix

2014 - 2015

Survey Data

The EPE will include scores from the spring administration of the department's Support Card scores.

Assignment of Survey Effectiveness Ratings

Survey Effectiveness Rating	Mean Survey Score Ranges
Highly Effective	4.0 - 5.0
Effective	3.5 – 3.99
Needs Improvement	3.0 - 3.49
Unsatisfactory	0 – 2.99

Student Learning Growth

The EPE will include district student growth scores from approved student assessments. The same scale used to rate teachers' student learning growth will be used in the EPE.

Assignment of Student Learning Growth Effectiveness Rating

Student Learning Growth Rating	Range (%)
Highly Effective	54 and above
Effective	40 – 53.9
Needs Improvement	25 – 39.9
Unsatisfactory	0 – 24.9

Summative/Overall Effectiveness Rating

To arrive at the summative rating, the three areas of the EPE will be averaged with their respective weights:

EPE Area	Weight
Standards for Employee Excellence (SEE)	80%
Support Card Score	10%
Student Learning Growth	10%

Assignment of Summative/Overall Effectiveness Rating

The same scale used to arrive at a summative rating for teachers will be used in the EPE.

Aggregation of Evaluation Measures						
Evaluation Measure	HE	E	NI	U	Weight	
Standards for Employee Excellence	3	2	1	0	80%	
Support Card Score	3	2	1	0	10%	
Student Learning Growth	3	2	1	0	10%	
Final Rating	2.5 – 3.0	1.5 – 2.49	0.75 – 1.49	0 - 0.749	100%	

10%

10%

100%

Escambia Professional Evaluation (EPE) Evidence Matrix 2014 - 2015